



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

quest rests upon the analogy which the proceeding bears to the discovery of books and papers. 16 Ency. of Law, 2d Ed., p. 812, citing cases from Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Washington, and Wisconsin, as jurisdictions in which this more liberal rule has been adopted.

---

**Compelling Production of Voluminous Books and Papers.**—It is always gratifying to note the modern tendency towards greater liberality in rulings upon the admissibility of evidence. In accordance with this liberal tendency it held by the supreme court of North Carolina in *Washington Horse Exchange v. Wilson & McCoy*, 67 S. E. 35, following Supreme Court of the United States, that when it is necessary to prove the results of voluminous facts, or of the examination of many books and papers, such as books of a nonresident bank, and the examination cannot be conveniently made in court, or such books and papers cannot be introduced without stopping the business of the party called on to produce the same, the results may be proved by the person who made the examination thereof.

Where the production of the best evidence is highly inconvenient or physically impossible, as in the case of inscriptions on walls and fixed tables, mural monuments, gravestones, surveyors' marks on boundary trees, and the like, may be proved by secondary evidence. 25 Ency. of Law, 2d Ed., p. 174; *Mortimer v. McCallan*, 6 M. & W. 58; *Sayer v. Glossop*, 2 Exch. 409; *Bruce v. Nicolopulo*, 11 Exch. 129; *Jones v. Tarleton*, 9 M. & W. 675; *Rex v. Fursey*, 6 C. & P. 84, 25 E. C. L. 294; *Doe v. Cole*, 6 C. & P. 360, 25 E. C. L. 438; *Shrewsbury v. Peerage*, 7 H. L. Cas. 1; *Bartholomew v. Stephens*, 8 C. & P. 728, 34 S. C. L. 605; *North Brookfield v. Warren*, 16 Gray (Mass.) 171; *State v. Credle*, 91 N. Car. 640. See also, *Stearns v. Doe*, 12 Gray (Mass.) 482, 74 Am. Dec. 608; *Kansas Pac. R. Co. v. Miller*, 2 Colo. 442.

Where, however, the document is merely a notice not permanently affixed but portable, it should be produced. 25 Ency. of Law, 2d Ed., p. 174; *Jones v. Tarlton*, 9 M. & W. 675.

---

**Rights of Beneficiaries Who Murder the Insured.**—While it is a principle very generally accepted that a beneficiary who has caused or procured the death of the insured under circumstances amounting to a felony will be allowed no recovery on a policy, still this will not relieve the company of all liability on the policy, but recovery can be had usually by the representative of the insured, and for the benefit of the latter's estate. *Anderson v. Life Ins. Co. of Virginia* (N. C.), 67 S. E. 53.